

Pebworth Parish Council
Minutes of the Ordinary Parish Council Meeting held
At the Village Hall, Pebworth on Monday 7th July 2025

Present: Councillors: Richard Weller (Chairman), Simon Shiers, James Pearson, David Cranage, Jodi Cotton, Eugene Mccluskey & Alex Neal.

In attendance: Hannah Robson in role as County & District Councillor, District Councillor Judith Ciotti, Mrs D Bowles (Clerk)

Also in attendance: 11 members of the public.

1.	Apologies Considered for Absence: None
2.	Register of Interests: Disclosures of Interests: Members were reminded of the need to keep their register of interests updated. Members were asked to declare any Disclosable Pecuniary or other Interests in items considered in virtual meetings and their nature. None declared
3.	Open Forum: The Chairman suspended the formal meeting for the Ward Members and public participation. a) 10 members of the public were in attendance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A petition was handed in requesting dogs must be kept on leads on The Close, and for the Parish Council to reconsider its decision to re-open the Recreation Field for dog walkers. Approx 80% of the village have signed it. This will be added to the agenda for the September meeting. - Question was raised as to whether funding is still available for NDP plans – this is being discussed later in the meeting. County Councillor Robson advised that Wychavon District Council will be bridging the gap. - It was confirmed that The Masons Arms is still listed as an Asset of Community Value until March 27. b) County Councillor Robson – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - attended 18 meetings this month, including the Hereford and Worcester Fire Authority and the Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee. A productive road survey with Highways Liaison Officer Barry Barnes identified issues such as sunken drains, damaged anti-skid surfaces, and footway improvements. Actions taken include requesting three speed data surveys and drain patching. There was also significant discussion on improving traffic management around roadworks to minimise disruption, particularly for emergency services. c) District Councillors Robson & Ciotti – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Wychavon will provide £10,000 to each parish and town council for Neighbourhood Planning after government funding was withdrawn. - Free dog microchipping events will be held in Pershore (9 July) and Evesham (10 Sept), 11:30–1:30pm. - A rural mental health workshop for lone farm workers was held in Honeybourne; another is set for 18 July in Whittington. - A youth homelessness scheme in Wychavon was nationally recognised and will expand to Droitwich. - Judith and Hannah joined a community session in Pebworth. The ‘Shape Worcestershire’ consultation showed strong public interest and concern. The Chairman closed the open forum and reconvened the meeting at 19:30
4.	Acceptance of Minutes: The Minutes of the Ordinary Parish Council Meeting held on Monday 2 nd June 2025 at the Village Hall, Pebworth were considered and approved by the Council to be a true record of the meeting and were signed by the Chairman.
5.	Planning Matters: Considered via email: a) W/25/01168/HP Single storey extension to rear to provide a family kitchen, additional bedroom and boot room at The Coach House, Pebworth Road, Ullington, CV37 8XG. Following consultation via email no comment was made.

Members noted response to:

b) **W/25/01048/FUL** - Demolition of existing agricultural barns and replacement with 5no. detached dwellings and associated works. Resubmission of application Ref. W/23/01124/FUL at Bank Farm Front Street Pebworth Stratford Upon Avon CV37 8XQ

“Pebworth Parish Council wishes to raise a number of objections to planning application **W/25/01048/FUL** which is a resubmission of application W/23/01124/FUL. We note that applications on this site have been refused numerous times before, both by WDC Officers and Appeal Inspectors (2012 and 2024) for reasons of harm and policy conflict which we continue to fully support. Our response is submitted in two parts, Part 1 - Summary of Objections, and Part 2 - Supporting Comments and Observations:

1. Summary of objections

The proposal is contrary to and in conflict with:

SWDP 2: Development Strategy and Settlement Hierarchy

SWDP 6: Historic Environment

SWDP 24: Management of the Historic Environment

SWDP 25: Landscape Character

Pebworth Neighbourhood Development Plan (PNDP) policy P3: Safeguarding heritage assets

Other matters:

Access

Housing need

Site allocation

Sustainability

With regard to Appeal Decision APP/H1840/W/23/3331631 dated 1st August 2024 Pebworth Parish Council consider it worthy of special note that the Appeal Inspector concluded: “... *irrespective of the Councils housing land supply situation, the presumption in favour of sustainable development does not apply*”.

Local Democracy

Pebworth Parish Council consider that the granting of this application would undermine local Democracy and the legitimacy of the Neighbourhood Development Plan process.

2. Supporting comments and observations

This application is not in line with numerous aspects of the Pebworth Neighbourhood Development Plan (PNDP) which forms part of the statutory ‘Local Development Plan’ (and as such planning decisions must be taken in accordance with the adopted PNDP). It is also not in line with aspects of the SWDP, the SWDPR, the NPPF, and the Pebworth Conservation Area Appraisal. We provide here additional detail using the headings from the above ‘Summary of Objections’:

SWDP 2: Development Strategy and Settlement Hierarchy

The proposal is contrary to SWDP2 C in that the site is located in open countryside outside the defined village development boundary. The SWDP Review which has been written and widely consulted on, although not yet adopted, makes no changes this to development boundary. Previous applications on this site have been assessed and rejected on the grounds that housing development here would detract from the rural character and change the appearance of this edge of village site to the detriment of the adjacent Conservation Area.

SWDP 6: Historic Environment and **SWDP 24:** Management of the Historic Environment

a) Effect on setting - Conservation Area:

The site borders the Pebworth Conservation Area and the access track runs within the Conservation Area border for a distance of approximately 100 metres. The effects on the setting of

the Conservation Area which have been highlighted throughout previous planning applications (the majority of which have not been materially dissimilar in terms of site useage and massing to the current application) still remain a key factor which weighs against this proposal:

We refer to Appeal Decision APP/H1840/W/23/3331631 (1st August 2024) in which the appeal inspector (M Aqbal BA (Hons) DipTP MRTPI) concluded as follows (paras, 25, 51 and 52):

".....The proposal would introduce a suburbanising impact on the site, resulting in an erosive effect on part of the countryside and rural setting of Pebworth CA and the Grade II listed Orchard Cottage".

"I therefore conclude that the proposal would conflict with Policy SWDP 24 of the SWDP. I also find conflict with SWDP Policy SWDP 6, which says that proposals will be supported where they conserve and enhance the significance of heritage assets, including their setting. Accordingly, the proposal also fails to accord with similar aims for safeguarding heritage assets under Policy P3 of the NP".

"Furthermore, given my findings in relation to heritage matters, then under paragraph 11d i) and Footnote 7 of the Framework, irrespective of the Council's housing land supply situation, the presumption in favour of sustainable development does not apply".

We also refer to Appeal decision APP/H1840/A/12/2174829 (7 December 2012) in which the appeal inspector (Alan Boyland BEng (Hons) DipTP CEng MICE MCIHT MRTPI) concluded as follows (paras 13, 15, and 16:)

The currently existing modern rural barns are utilitarian in appearance and..."their use and character are consistent with the rural fringe of the village and the open countryside beyond that form an important part of the setting of the village".

"...this scheme would extend domestic development beyond the existing built-up area into the surrounding countryside. In particular it would not be a natural extension of the traditional street pattern...and could be regarded outside the village, rather than relating visually and functionally to Front Street within the village core."

"In my view this would be harmful to the character of the area...and detrimental to the setting of the Conservation Area. I conclude accordingly on this issue, and that the character of the Conservation Area would not be preserved or enhanced, contrary to LP policy ENV 12"

A subsequent application (W/13/00284/OU 2013) was reviewed by WDC Conservation Officer, Celia Biolcati, who further concluded that development on this site would have a detrimental impact on the setting of the conservation area, which would be contrary to policy ENV12 of the council's Local Plan and against NPPF para.'s 129 – 132. This officer noted that she would have *"an 'in principle' objection, from a conservation perspective, to any proposed development within this site"*.

b) Effect on setting – Heritage Assets:

The nearest built heritage asset is the house and garden of Grade 2 listed Orchard Cottage (also set within the Conservation Area) which is directly adjacent to the application site and with interconnecting views. The access track to the application site runs immediately adjacent to the Orchard Cottage boundary for a distance of approximately 100 metres, and vehicles in and out of the site pass within 10 metres of the rear wall of this house.

We refer to Appeal Decision APP/H1840/W/23/3331631 (1st August 2024) in which the inspector found conflict with SWDP 6, SWDP 24, and Policy P3 of the Pebworth NP.

Paras 25 and 50 - 52 of this appeal decision concluded:

"the proposal would introduce a suburbanising impact on the site, resulting in an erosive effect on

part of the countryside and rural setting of Pebworth CA and the Grade II listed Orchard Cottage. As such, the proposal, due to its form, design and external materials would fail to preserve the setting of the Pebworth CA and Orchard Cottage."

"I have found less than substantial harm would be caused to the setting of the Pebworth CA and the Grade II listed Orchard Cottage, and I do not consider the benefits associated with the proposal to be sufficiently forceful to outweigh the less than substantial harm that I have identified and the great weight that must be given to the conservation of heritage assets".

"I therefore conclude that the proposal would conflict with Policy SWDP 24 of the SWDP. I also find conflict with SWDP Policy SWDP 6, which says that proposals will be supported where they conserve and enhance the significance of heritage assets, including their setting. Accordingly, the proposal also fails to accord with similar aims for safeguarding heritage assets under Policy P3 of the NP".

"Furthermore, given my findings in relation to heritage matters, then under paragraph 11d i) and Footnote 7 of the Framework, irrespective of the Council's housing land supply situation, the presumption in favour of sustainable development does not apply".

SWDP 25: Landscape Character

Pebworth Parish Council fully supports the comments and objections put forward by WDC Landscape Officer Eileen Marshall on 10th June 2025. In particular we consider it of great importance that any modern expansion of the village should be kept separate from the historic heart of the village. This historic heart should not be adversely affected by expansion into open countryside.

The Landscape Officer concluded:

"The historic heart of the village and the modern expansion of Pebworth are viewed as separate entities, with the agricultural buildings on the site viewed as part of the rural landscape adjacent to that historic heart".

"To develop on the site of those agricultural units as proposed would adversely impact on that perceived rural character on entering the village and would visibly expand the historic heart of the village into the adjacent rural countryside. This would result in the suburbanisation of the landscape character on this edge of the village...."

The Appeal Inspector also concluded in their decision of 1st August 2024 (para 30):

"The proposal would also fail to accord with Policy SWDP 25, this says that development proposals integrate with, the character of the landscape setting. Accordingly, I also find conflict with similar design aims of Policy P3 of the Pebworth Neighbourhood Plan ('NP')".

Other Matters:

Access

Access has previously been described by the applicant as "tight", this being an approx. 100 metre stretch of unmade single track farm entrance with limited ability to add passing places without encroaching onto land owned by others. The entire access track leading to the site is within the Pebworth Conservation Area and directly adjacent to the curtilage of Orchard Cottage. Effectively this access route leads traffic away from the village roads into open countryside, potentially to the detriment of the conservation area and the immediately adjacent heritage asset.

Housing Need

Housing needs within the parish were assessed as part of the Pebworth Neighbourhood Development Plan (PNDP) and are already being met many, many times over.

The PNDP references 380 dwellings (the construction of which is well advanced) at Meon Way

Gardens within the parish.

It also references the Meon Vale development and the Long Marston Airfield sites both of which are in close proximity to the Parish, the extracts are as follows:

(Page 13) 1.13 *Important to understanding the parish's development context to 2030 is the development of a substantial new village, Meon Vale, on the site of a former army camp.*

The village is currently under construction and will consist of over 1000 dwellings, 800,000 square feet of employment space, a primary school and 300 holiday lodges /homes. This development lies within Stratford-on-Avon District but is immediately adjacent to Pebworth parish. Immediately adjacent to Meon Vale and within the parish, planning permission has been granted for 380 dwellings at land to the rear of Sims Metals approximately 2km east of Pebworth village.

(Page 13) 1.14 *A little further north on the Long Marston airfield site within Stratford-on-Avon District the government have selected it as one of 14 Garden Villages in the Country. It will become a settlement of approximately 3500 dwellings.*

As a further point on need, the PNDP identified, through the residents' questionnaires, support for small scale housing development of approx. 10 dwellings to meet specific needs, including smaller bungalows or housing for older people, starter homes, homes for young families and affordable market homes. To meet this need the PNDP extended the village development boundary to include part of The Fibrex Nurseries site and planning permission has recently been granted for 14 dwellings on this site.

In excess of these approved dwellings the part Q permissions in relation to New Buildings Farm Priory Lane, Broad Marston, Pebworth in 2022 (ref W/22/01149/GPDQ and W/22/01150/GPDQ) have delivered permission for 5 residences, and the recent approval in May 2025 for a further 9 new dwellings at Chapel Road, Pebworth (ref W/23/02156/FUL) means that the village has now vastly exceeded it's identified housing need.

In addition, in the adjacent parish of Honeybourne (within Wychavon District) over 60 new dwellings are either under construction or have been recently completed within 2 kilometres of Pebworth Parish.

Site Allocation

The PNDP assessed 18 sites, including the Bank Farm site, against specific criteria, putting forward a shortlist of 3 sites for full public consultation, those selected sites were then ranked. As a result of this consultation the SWDP1 development boundary was extended in 2019 to include part of Fibrex Nurseries which was put forward as the preferred site (now referred to as SWDP2). Outline planning permission has since been approved and Pebworth Parish Council remains supportive of the Fibrex Nurseries site as by far the most suitable and locally supported site for new housing within the village.

Sustainability

Pebworth is listed as a category 3 village within the SWDP and SWDPR. It has very few facilities, no shop, and very limited bus services. Increased travel by car will result from this development therefore it cannot be considered as sustainable.

Appeal Decision APP/H1840/W/23/3331631 dated 1st August 2024 concludes "... irrespective of the Councils housing land supply situation, the presumption in favour of sustainable development does not apply".

Local Democracy

For the reasons listed above we object to this application, however if the Planning Officer feels minded to approve the application we request that the decision should be further considered at a full meeting of the Planning Committee. We believe that this is particularly important in this case because in our view the approval of this application would undermine local democracy and the legitimacy of the Pebworth Neighbourhood Development Plan which was extensively consulted on and supported by local people."

Decisions:

- c) **W/25/00400/FUL** -Residential conversion of barn including replacement roof and extension, to replace approved barn conversion - (Variation of condition 2 and 5 Ref W/22/02615/FUL) Location:

	Land Adj To Bramble Cottage, Dorsington Road, Pebworth. Planning Application Approved Subject to Conditions.					
6.	Finance:					
	a) Members noted the Clerk's report on payments made since the last meeting:					
	PAYMENT	PAYEE	DETAILS	TOTAL	VAT	NET
	BACS	Limebridge Rural Services	Amenity Contract	1462.80	243.80	1219.00
	BACS	Direct365	Defib Pads	149.10	24.85	124.25
				1611.90	268.65	1343.25
	b) The Council gave consideration and unanimous approval of the payments listed in Appendix A, confirming the payments in Appendix A have been approved by full Council and there is provision within the budget, and that financial regulations and relevant policies have been followed. Cllr Pearson to authorise the payments made by internet banking.					
	c) Consideration was given to the first quarterly bank reconciliation, account balances and income and expenditure budgets. These were agreed by all Members.					
	d) Cllr McCluskey has emailed requesting further information regarding a potential investment in CCLA, following the April Parish Council meeting. As of now, he has not received a response. The Clerk will follow up with CCLA, and the matter will be revisited at the September meeting.					
	e) As there is not a meeting in August it was agreed that any regular monthly invoices requiring payment be approved via email by Chair or Vice-Chair. These payments to then be retrospectively approved at the September meeting.					
7.	Council & Community Matters:					
	a) Members noted that Wychavon District Council owns the old fire station at Broad Marston. The tenant had previously assured that the site would be cleared by the end of June; however, this has not occurred. The Clerk has notified Wychavon District Council, who has since followed up with the tenant.					
	b) Members noted that the Clerk had written to The Masons Arms regarding noise levels, but no response had been received. Cllr Pearson reported that he and Cllr Weller had met with the owner following news of the pub's impending closure. It was noted that the current operators will be leaving shortly, and while the owner is considering running the premises on an interim basis, no final decision has been made.					
	c) Members noted that bleed kits have now been installed in both defibrillator cabinets. They also acknowledged that the local Evesham Defibrillators Team advises against placing bleed kits in these cabinets. The concern is that if both the defibrillator and the bleed kit are removed, The Circuit would not be notified, preventing them from reporting the defibrillator as taken to the appropriate authority. Despite this, members agreed to keep the bleed kits in the cabinets, as it is generally known when a cabinet is accessed.					
	d) The Clerk has once again contacted National Rail to request an update regarding the ongoing and unacceptable parking issues at Honeybourne Railway Station. Sarah Cook from National Rail responded, stating that they are currently preparing a remit outlining the next steps for the project, in collaboration with Wychavon District Council. Additionally, her colleague Neil Stevens indicated that Jayne Pickering of Wychavon District Council had requested the Clerk to get in touch with her. The Clerk has done so but has not yet received a response.					
	e) Members noted the First Aid for Children sessions have been booked for Monday 11 th August.					
	f) Members noted the flood defence works in Broad Marston have been completed.					
8.	Meon Way Gardens:					
	a) A few members reported receiving an email expressing disappointment that the recent community engagement event at Meon Way Gardens seemed poorly advertised. The sender suggested that, for future events, leaflets could be delivered to all residents. Members stated that this would not be feasible due to the cost involved. The event had been promoted on the noticeboard and through social media. It was agreed that the Clerk would place a notice on all community noticeboards promoting the E-News service, so residents who subscribe can stay informed about upcoming events.					
	b) Cllr Pearson reported there is no further update regarding the planning application being drawn up by Persimmon relating to the Public Open Space proposals. He will investigate and report back to the September meeting.					

	<p>c) Members noted that Persimmon believes the current location of the VAS pole is appropriate. Cllr McCluskey will respond, advising that the Parish Council considers there to be more suitable locations and asking if they would be willing to meet to discuss this further.</p>
9.	<p>The Close & Recreation Field</p> <p>a) Members acknowledged the monthly reports. An issue was identified with the tarmac separating and forming significant cracks around the perimeter of the MUGA. The Clerk is currently seeking a suitable company to undertake the necessary repairs. Councillor Shiers will meet with the contractors.</p> <p>b) The possibility of installing fencing around the play area at The Close was discussed. Cllr Neal will research fencing used at other children's play areas and report back at the September meeting. While all Councillors are open to the idea of adding a fence, it would be considered for the 2026/27 financial year and potentially funded through an increase in the precept.</p> <p>c) Progress on the pétanque court at The Recreation Field has been paused due to the contractor's failure to provide a risk assessment and method statement. The area has now been taped off. Cllr Shiers will follow up to obtain the necessary documentation. It was agreed to postpone the decision on installing a gate near the court until there is a clearer indication of community interest, especially given the lack of participation in forming a team for the recent Parish Games.</p> <p>d) Members noted Clerk has authorised the necessary repairs to the roundabout and the carousel at The Close.</p> <p>e) Members noted one of the slides on the multi play equipment at The Close has been vandalised. The Clerk submitted a claim to the Parish Council's insurance, which has been approved and paid. Installation is pending a scheduled date. The Clerk has also issued a strongly worded notice about the vandalism, stating that the incident has been reported to the police.</p>
10.	<p>Neighbourhood Development Plan:</p> <p>a) Members noted the Government has announced, as part of the June 2025 Spending Review, that the community grant funding for neighbourhood planning is to be withdrawn. An update has been received from District Councillor Robson, detailed above in the report providing information about funding from Wychavon District Council. Cllr Cotton advised that Long Marston Parish Council has recently completed their NDP review, which was a more extensive process due to the establishment of Meon Vale since the original plan was adopted. Their review was carried out in two stages. She suggested someone from Pebworth Parish Council consult with Long Marston, as Pebworth now has the newly established Meon Way Gardens to consider since their original NDP.</p> <p>b) Members decided, as there is going to be funding available for the NDP review, they do not need to pass a resolution calling on the Government to provide dedicated funding for Neighbourhood Plans.</p>
11.	<p>Cemetery:</p> <p>Members noted 3 interments have taken place this month.</p>
12.	<p>Highway Matters:</p> <p>a) County Councillor Robson is considering providing funds from her County Councillor Fund to repair the footway from Pebworth to Broad Marston and pothole on Broad Marston Rd.</p>
13.	<p>Lengthsman/Handyman Matters:</p> <p>a) Future Tasks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Install dog bag dispenser and poster. <p>b) The VAS data was noted – Appendix B</p>
14.	<p>Matters Raised by Members: The following matters were raised by Council Members for consideration for future agendas:</p> <p>a) Cllr Shiers - Britain in Bloom inspection is taking place Tuesday 15th July, residents are welcome to attend the Village Hall, 10am</p> <p>b) Cllr Neal would like to investigate a project with local Schools – perhaps being a Councillor for a day for children. This to be discussed at the September meeting.</p> <p>c) It was noted that this is Cllr Cotton's final meeting before her baby arrives; she hopes to return in time for the December meeting. This is also the Clerk's last meeting before leaving the position. Cllr Weller will be hosting a social evening.</p>
15.	<p>Policy Documents:</p> <p>At a recent Clerk's meeting, it was advised that all smaller authorities (excluding parish meetings) are now required, with effect from April 2025, to have an IT policy in place to comply with the new Assertion 10: Digital and Data Compliance in the Annual Governance Statement. A draft IT policy was reviewed, and it was resolved to adopt it with a view to updating.</p>
16.	<p>Staffing:</p>

	In accordance with the Public Bodies (Admission to Meetings) Act 1960, due to the confidential nature of the business to be transacted (staffing matter), it was resolved that the press and public be excluded from the meeting during the discussion of the following agenda item. Cllr Weller provided an update of the recruitment process.
17.	Date of Next Meeting: Council confirmed the date of the next Ordinary Parish Council Meeting on Monday 1 st September 2025 at 7.00pm in the Village Hall, Pebworth.
18.	Closure of Meeting: The Chairman closed the meeting at 20:20 hrs

Chairman: _____ Date: _____

FINANCE

Verified & Confirmed Account Balances as at 18th June 2025

Treasurers Account	8,789.07
Business Bank Instant	67,310.01
Total	76,099.08

APPENDIX A

PAYMENT	PAYEE	DETAILS	TOTAL	VAT	NET
BACS	HMRC	Clerk & P C Tax & NIC	****		****
BACS	Mrs D Bowles	Clerk's Salary & Expenses	****		****
BACS	John Hyde	Lengthsman Works	72.55		72.55
BACS	Greenbarnes Ltd	Noticeboard	1218.32	203.06	1015.26
BACS	John Hyde	Handyman Works	86.25		86.25
BACS	LS Farmer	Flood Defences	3150.00		3150.00
BACS	Pebworth Village Hall	Coffee on The Close	240.00	0.00	240.00
BACS	Pebworth Village Hall	Hire of Hall - First Aid	40.00	0.00	40.00
BACS	EDGE IT Systems	Finance Package	394.80	65.80	329.00
BACS	NALC	Clerk Advertisement	120.00	20.00	100.00
BACS	Graphic Print Partnership	Dog Dibond Poster	40.00		40.00
BACS	SLCC Enterprises	Clerk Advertisement	129.60	21.60	108.00
BACS	Limebridge Rural Services	Amenity Contract	596.40	99.40	497.00
DD	ICO	GDPR Compliance	47.00		47.00
DD	NEST	Pension	****		****
DD	O2	Mobile Phone	10.78	1.80	8.98
DD	Lloyds Bank	Charges	4.25	0.00	4.25
DD	Tomato Energy	Streetlight Energy	312.89	14.90	297.99
DD	Tomato Energy	Streetlight Energy	32.22	1.53	30.69

APPENDIX B – VAS DATA

VAS Information

For Project: Honeybourne Road
 Project Notes:
 Location/Name: Incoming
 Report Generated: 04/07/2025 10:52:06
 Speed Intervals: 5 MPH
 Time Intervals: Instant
 Traffic Report From: 14/06/2025 08:00:00 through 01/07/2025 09:59:59
 85th Percentile Speed: 43.9 MPH
 85th Percentile Vehicles: 7132
 Max Speed: 75 MPH on 30/06/2025 09:45:00
 Total Vehicles: 8390
 AADT: 491

Volumes - weekly counts

Time	5 Day	7 Day
Average Daily	499	474
AM Peak 07:00	38	38
PM Peak 14:00	42	38

Speed

Speed Limit: 30
 85th Percentile Speed: 43.9
 50th Percentile Speed: 35.9
 10 MPH Pace Interval: 30.0 MPH to 40.0 MPH
 Average Speed: 35.6

	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday
Count over limit	1135	978	883	782	783	995	898
% over limit	78.4	75.6	76.7	77.0	77.9	76.3	76.5
Avg Speeder	39.0	39.0	38.8	39.1	39.3	38.7	38.8
Avg Speed	28.4	26.3	27.0	28.1	28.3	27.6	28.3

For Project: Broadmarston
 Project Notes:
 Location/Name: Incoming
 Report Generated: 17/06/2025 14:53:59
 Speed Intervals: 5 MPH
 Time Intervals: Instant
 Traffic Report From: 30/05/2025 13:00:00 through 13/06/2025 14:59:59
 85th Percentile Speed: 33.3 MPH
 85th Percentile Vehicles: 3708
 Max Speed: 50 MPH on 08/06/2025 19:30:00
 Total Vehicles: 4362
 AADT: 309

Volumes - weekly counts

Time	5 Day	7 Day
Average Daily	321	293
AM Peak 07:00	31	24
PM Peak 14:00	35	29

Speed

Speed Limit: 30
 85th Percentile Speed: 33.3
 50th Percentile Speed: 28
 10 MPH Pace Interval: 25.0 MPH to 35.0 MPH
 Average Speed: 27.61

	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday
Count over limit	209	204	228	213	267	175	140
% over limit	33.9	29.5	31.1	31.7	35.1	35.7	35.0
Avg Speeder	33.2	33.3	33.4	33.5	33.5	33.5	33.3
Avg Speed	21.3	19.2	19.8	19.8	20.7	22.1	22.2